

Wollaton West

NAT Report

Unofficial August 2013 Data

Ward Summary

Ward Performance Snapshot

		Wol	llaton West			
Area	Aug-13 Volume	Aug-12 Volume	Direction of Travel	YTD 13/14 Volume	YTD 12/13 Volume	Direction of Travel
Crime	29	32	\downarrow	252	205	↑
ASB	23	7	\uparrow	86	97	\downarrow
DV	1	2	\downarrow	8	5	↑
Area	Jul-13 Volume/ Score	Jul-12 Volume/ Score	Direction of Travel	YTD 13/14 Volume/ Average Score	YTD 12/13 Volume/ Average Score	Direction of Travel
Cleanliness	97	85	\downarrow	96	83	\downarrow
Graffiti	2	18	\downarrow	23	56	\downarrow
Fly tipping	2	5	\downarrow	13	13	\leftrightarrow
Deliberate Fires	1	1	\leftrightarrow	3	2	<u></u>

Key

↑	Most recent data shows an increase compared to the corresponding period last year.
\downarrow	Most recent data shows a reduction compared to the corresponding period last year.
\leftrightarrow	Most recent data shows no change compared to the corresponding period last year.


Ward NAT Meetings

Date	Time	Venue			
11th July	10:00 -12:00	Wollaton Library, Bramcote Lane			
15th August	10:00 -12:00	Wollaton Library, Bramcote Lane			
19th September	10:00 -12:00	Wollaton Library, Bramcote Lane			
24th October	10:00 -12:00	Wollaton Library, Bramcote Lane			
28th November	10:00 -12:00	Wollaton Library, Bramcote Lane			
19th December	10:00 -12:00	Wollaton Library, Bramcote Lane			

Ward NAT Attendees

NAT Membe	rs (TORs i.e Nom, Ndo, CP Team Leader etc,)
Name of Officer	Email Adress
Lylse-Anne Renwick	lylse-anne.renwick@nottinghamcity.gov.uk
Louise Down	louise.down@nottinghamshire.pnn.police.uk
Katrina Serafin	katrina.serafin@nottinghamshire.pnn.police.uk
Tony Brown	tony.brown@nottinghamcity.gov.uk
Celina Adams	celina.adams@nottinghamcity.gov.uk
Natalie Bennett	natalie.bennett@placesforpeople.co.uk
Katie Sharp	katie.sharp@placesforpeople.co.uk
Sue Mallender	sue.mallender@nottinghamcity.gov.uk
Uzmah Bhatti	Uzmah.Bhatti@nottinghamcitynhs.uk
Cllr Morley	eileen.morley@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Crime





Red*		
Amber		
Green	3 less crimes	-9.38%

*Refers to August 2013 compared to August 2012

- •The above baseline graph compares the *rolling* 12 month total of crime in Wollaton West to a *fixed* 12 month 2010/11 baseline. The current 12 month total is showing a reduction of 13.40% against the baseline.
- •In August 2013 there were 29 crimes which is a reduction of 9.38% (3 crimes, see above RAG rating) compared to August 2012. The Division as a whole experienced an increase in all crime of *approximately* 3.8%.
- •Year to date crime in the ward has increased by 22.93% (47 crimes, April August 2013 compared to April August 2012, see Table 1 of page 5). In the Division as a whole, all crime is up by *approximately* 5.8%.
- •The largest volume increase this month and year to date has been in Burglary, which was up 4 crimes (200%, see Table 1 of page 5).
- •Crimes with a domestic flag assigned to them have decreased by 1 crime (August 2013 compared to August 2012, see Table 2 of page 5). For August 2013 the ward ranked lowest out of the 20 wards in the Division for this type of crime.
- •The rate (per 1000 of population) of all crime in Wollaton West is considerably better than the average rate for the 20 wards (figures are year to date, see Table 3 of page 6).

AII ASB





*R efers to August 2013 compared to August 2012

- •The above baseline graph compares the *rolling* 12 month total of ASB in Wollaton West to a *fixed* 12 month 2010/11 baseline. The most recent 12 month total is showing a reduction of 53.42% against the baseline.
- •In August 2013 there were 23 ASB calls in the ward. This is an increase of 228.57% (16 calls, see above right RAG rating) compared to August 2012. The Division as a whole experienced an increase of *approximately* 11.75% on this measure.
- •Year to date ASB in the ward has reduced by 12.37% (12 calls, April August 2013 compared to April August 2012, see Table 5 of page 7). In the Division as a whole, ASB is down by *approximately* 8.78%.
- •The rate (per 1000 of population) of ASB in Wollaton West is lower than the average rate for the 20 wards (August 2013 figures, see Table 4 of page 7).

Crime Figures

Table 1: Crime Types by Month and Year to Date

WOLLATON WEST	Aug-12	Aug-13	Change	% Change	Prev YTD	YTD	Change	% Change
Aut ocrime	7	6	-1	-14.29%	44	35	-9	-20.45%
Burglary	2	6	4	200.00%	27	35	8	29.63%
Burglary Other	7	0	-7	-100.00%	29	12	-17	-58.62%
Damage	3	4	1	33.33%	16	31	15	93.75%
Drugs	0	1	1		11	12	1	9.09%
Fraud	1	0	-1	-100.00%	8	3	-5	-62.50%
Other	0	0	0		0	3	3	
Personal Robbery	3	0	-3	-100.00%	5	0	-5	-100.00%
Robbery Business	0	0	0		1	0	-1	-100.00%
Sexual	1	1	0	0.00%	2	10	8	400.00%
Theft	4	6	2	50.00%	29	70	41	141.38%
Theft from Person	0	0	0		10	5	-5	-50.00%
Threats	2	1	-1	-50.00%	2	7	5	250.00%
Violence	2	4	2	100.00%	21	29	8	38.10%
Total	32	29	-3	-9.38%	205	252	47	22.93%

Table 2: Crimes with a Domestic Flag Assigned to them by Month

														Ward
														Rank
Ward	Aug-12	Sep-12	Oct-12	Nov-12	Dec-12	Jan-13	Feb-13	Mar-13	Apr-13	May-13	Jun-13	Jul-13	Aug-13	(Aug-13)
WOLLATON WEST	2	4	0	3	3	4	4	3	1	3	2	1	1	20

Crime Figures

Table 3: Crime Types by Rate (per 1000 of population) of the 20 Wards – Figures are Year to Date 2013/14

Crime Rate per Ward YTD to August 2013	ALL CRIME	AUTOCRIME	BURGLARY	DAMAGE	PERSONAL ROBBERY	THEFT	THEFT FROM PERSON	VIOLENCE
ARBORETUM	45.22	3.77	6.10	6.82	3.50	10.23	0.99	11.75
ASPLEY	30.81	2.84	3.01	6.53	0.45	5.90	0.34	10.67
BASFORD	22.83	3.89	2.65	5.61	0.62	5.61	0.19	7.03
BERRIDGE	29.54	3.75	3.43	5.47	0.64	8.95	0.80	7.51
BESTWOOD	21.97	1.55	2.51	5.97	0.60	3.46	0.12	7.28
BILBOROUGH	26.68	3.63	2.92	5.90	0.42	5.54	0.12	7.44
BRIDGE	30.45	2.05	1.23	4.41	0.41	15.17	0.82	7.28
BULWELL	37.75	3.47	2.54	9.78	1.18	12.01	0.56	10.46
BULWELL FOREST	24.46	2.06	1.98	5.51	0.95	8.89	0.22	5.36
CLIFTON NORTH	19.71	1.94	2.41	4.81	0.62	4.03	0.16	5.43
CLIFTON SOUTH	18.36	1.15	2.80	3.66	0.29	4.37	0.14	6.52
DALES	26.67	2.13	1.64	6.20	0.24	7.11	0.79	6.38
DUNKIRK & LENTON	32.60	7.14	4.76	4.12	0.64	10.35	0.55	4.67
LEEN VALLEY	26.26	2.24	2.80	5.51	0.84	7.48	0.84	6.17
MAPPERLEY	26.25	3.66	2.33	4.61	0.38	6.56	0.06	6.12
RADFORD & PARK	28.88	3.98	3.67	2.81	1.07	10.05	0.71	4.80
SHERWOOD	30.69	2.92	2.79	3.50	1.17	13.10	0.26	7.14
ST ANNS	31.29	3.01	2.15	7.23	2.43	4.15	0.64	10.17
WOLLATON EAST & LENTON ABBEY	16.38	1.51	2.61	1.81	0.00	7.03	0.20	2.61
WOLLATON WEST	15.13	2.37	2.37	2.10	0.00	4.75	0.34	1.97
WARD AVERAGE	27.10	2.95	2.84	5.12	0.82	7.74	0.44	6.84

Highest Rate
Second Highest Rate
Third Highest Rate

All ASB Figures

Table 4: All ASB by Rate (per 1000 of population) of the 20 Wards (Figures are May 2013)

	RATE (PER 1000 OF POP.)
WARD	Aug 2013
ARBORETUM	9.06
ASPLEY	6.07
BASFORD	4.94
BERRIDGE	5.68
BESTWOOD	5.85
BILBOROUGH	4.53
BRIDGE	5.95
BULWELL	7.18
BULWELL FOREST	3.53
CLIFTON NORTH	2.87
CLIFTON SOUTH	5.52
DALES	4.98
DUNKIRK & LENTON	1.47
LEEN VALLEY	3.74
MAPPERLEY	3.72
RADFORD & PARK	4.85
SHERWOOD	3.76
STANNS	9.09
WOLLATON EAST & LENTON ABBEY	1.21
WOLLATON WEST	1.56
WARD AVERAGE	4.95





Table 5: All ASB by Month and Year to Date

Ward	Aug-12	Aug-13	Change	% Change	Prev YTD	YTD	Change	% Change
WOLLATON WEST	7	23	16	228.57%	97	85	-12	-12.37%

Cleanliness Index

This graph shows the position of your ward compared to other Wards



The "neighbourhood as clean as the city centre" benchmark target on cleanliness is to achieve a score of 86% in every ward. The 86% target is shown in red in the above graph.

To calculate the Cleanliness Index (CI) an agreed number of transects are surveyed across an area covering a range of land types. Each site surveyed is awarded a cleanliness grade based on a 7 point scale from A to D where Grade A: – no litter, and Grade D: - heavily littered. The maximum possible score of 100 is achieved when all sites achieve Grade A.

The Code of Practice for Litter and Refuse (COPLAR) requires councils to strive to reach an 'acceptable' standard of cleanliness (grade B or better) across the Council area. Grade A is the ultimate goal and is how a site should be immediately after sweeping but is almost impossible to maintain for any length of time. For example, a grade A site is completely free of litter – one cigarette butt takes it down to B+.

To achieve the manifesto commitment of Neighbourhoods as clean as the City Centre a target of 86 is required as that was the CI for the City Centre in May 2011. To give some context if all areas surveyed achieved a grade 'B' standard, this would equate to a cleanliness index of 67.

Cleanliness Index


Cleanliness Index
Score

Performance compared to previous year

Performance compared to neighbourhoods target of 86

- •All data refers to a comparison with the previous year's month
- •It is proposed that performance over time graphs be used for each indicator
- •Historic data for comparison is not available for dog fouling

Cleanliness Index - Wollaton West




Graffiti Reports



- •All data refers to a comparison with the previous year's month
- •It is proposed that performance over time graphs be used for each indicator
- •Historic data for comparison is not available for dog fouling

Incidents of Graffiti - Wollaton West



CPOs across the City have been asked to report everything they came across regardless of size. This they did and the level of work increased considerably. As CPOs have no direct input to Confirm they had been asked to send all reports to the ASB line and they then input into Confirm. Inputting is done on a random basis which means time is spent reworking jobs into a manageable order.

Fly Tipping


RED

AMBER

GREEN 2 incidents Decrease of 3

- •All data refers to a comparison with the previous year's month
- •It is proposed that performance over time graphs be used for each indicator
- •Historic data for comparison is not available for dog fouling

Incidents of Fly Tipping - Wollaton West



Unemployment Rate

Area	•	Jul-13	City	Change	in last	Change i	n last
	Number	Rate		Number	%	Number	%
Arboretum	816	6.9	6	13	1.6	-2	-0.2
Berridge	1,015	7	5	0	0	-58	-5.4
Dunkirk and Lenton	214	2	19	0	0	-53	-19.9
Radford and Park	746	3.9	17	-2	-0.3	-49	-6.2
Sherwood	507	4.7	13	-7	-1.4	-64	-11.2
Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey	129	1.3	20	-7	-5.1	-6	-4.4
Wollaton West	196	2.1	18	-11	-5.3	-37	-15.9
Aspley	1,104	9.8	1	-49	-4.2	-78	-6.6
Basford	692	6.2	9	-26	-3.6	-17	-2.4
Bestwood	912	8	4	-14	-1.5	-103	-10.1
Bilborough	722	6.9	6	-20	-2.7	-76	-9.5
Bulwell	985	9	2	-63	-6	-64	-6.1
Bulwell Forest	417	4.6	14	-4	-1	-39	-8.6
Leen Valley	296	4.2	16	-19	-6	-42	-12.4
Bridge	620	5.9	10	-21	-3.3	-130	-17.3
Clifton North	390	4.4	15		-4.4		-1.3
Clifton South	486	5.6	12	4	0.8	-8	-1.6
Dales	807	6.9	6	-7	-0.9	-86	-9.6
Mapperley	632	5.7	11	-3	-0.5	-87	-12.1
St Ann's	1,183	8.6	3	-22	-1.8	-46	-3.7

This is an edited version of a report produced by: Geoff Oxendale, Information and Research Officer, Nottingham City Council. Claimant count of Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) is used as a proxy measure for unemployment.

Wards are ranked from 1 (highest Rate, per 1000 of population, of JSA claimants) to 20 (lowest Rate).

Ward Report - Wollaton West



WWWA1 Anti-social behaviour

	Current		2013/14		2012/13	2011/12
Performance indicator and definition	Target	Value	Status	Long Trend	Value	Value
% of ASB cases resolved by first intervention – Radford	750/	84%			78.92%	
Note: This PI monitors how many ASB cases NCH resolved on the first intervention e.g. written warning. Data for this indicator is not available by ward and is reported by Housing Office.	75%	04%			7 6.92%	
% of ASB cases resolved – Radford						
Note: This PI measures the proportion of ASB cases NCH has successfully resolved. Data for this PI is not available by ward and is reported by Housing Office.	97.6%	100%	②	•	100%	97.53%
Number of new ASB cases – Radford		50			444	000
Note: Data for this PI is only available by Housing Office.		50			144	229

WWWA2 Repairs

Performance indicator and definition	Current Target		2013/14	2012/13	2011/12	
		Value	Status	Long Trend	Value	Value
% of repairs completed in target – Wollaton West Ward Note: This PI monitors the proportion of repairs being completed within agreed timescales.	96%	96.8%	Ø	1	93.6%	95.28%
Tenant satisfaction with the repairs service Note: Data for this PI is only available citywide	8.8	8.59	•	•	8.64	8.65

WWWA3 Rent Collection

Performance indicator and definition	Current Target		2013/14	2012/13	2011/12	
		Value	Status	Long Trend	Value	Value
% of rent collected – Radford						
Note: This PI measures the amount of rent collected (including tenant arrears) as a percentage of rent due for the current year. Data for this	100%	97.4%		•	100.5%	100.6%

WWWA4 Empty properties

Performance indicator and definition	Current Target		2013/14	2012/13	2011/12	
		Value	Status	Long Trend	Value	Value
Average void re-let time (calendar days) – Wollaton West Ward Note: This PI measures how long it takes NCH to re-let empty properties from the end of the old tenancy to the start of the new tenancy	25	0	②	•	107.7	42.69
Number of empty properties awaiting decommission – Wollaton West Ward Note: This PI shows the number of empty properties which will not be re-let and includes those being decommissioned and / or demolished.		0	<u>**</u>		0	0
Number of lettable voids – Wollaton West Ward Note: Lettable voids are empty properties available for re-letting. They will receive repair work and then be re-let to a new tenant.		0		•	0	3

WWWA5 Tenancy sustainment

Performance indicator and definition	Current Target		2013/14	2012/13	2011/12	
		Value	Status	Long Trend	Value	Value
Percentage of new tenancies sustained - Wollaton West Ward	93%	90%			92.86%	
Note: This PI measures the number of new tenants who are still in their	3070	0070			02.0070	

 Key:
 Map of Tenants and Residents Associations by Ward


 ● Performance below target
 Associations by Ward

 ■ Data only performance indicator

 Performance has improved compared to two years ago

 ● Performance has deteriorated compared to two years ago

 Performance unchanged



Data prepared by Nottingham City Homes Performance Team

For more information please contact Tricia Quinn, Performance Manager on 0115 9157365 or Marcus Parton, Performance Analyst 0115 915715

Performance indicator definitions

Performance indicator	Definition
% of ASB cases resolved	This performance indicator monitors the proportion of ASB cases that Nottingham City Homes has successfully resolved. Data for this indicator is not available by ward and is reported by Housing Office.
% of ASB cases resolved by first intervention	This performance indicator monitors how many ASB cases Nottingham City Homes resolved on the first intervention e.g. written warning. Data for this indicator is not available by ward and is reported by Housing Office.
Average void re-let time (calendar days)	This performance indicator monitors how many empty properties Nottingham City Homes has re-let and the length of time is has taken. The void period is the number of calendar days including the day after the old tenancy ends right through to and including the day before the new tenancy starts.
Number of lettable voids	This performance indicator monitors the number of empty properties which are available for re-letting. Lettable empty properties are those which will receive repair work and then be let to a new tenant.
Number of empty properties awaiting decommission	This performance indicator monitors the number of empty properties which will not be re-let and includes those being decommissioned and / or demolished.
Estate star ratings	Nottingham City Homes Tenant Inspectors monitor the condition of estates and rates them according to a zero, one, two and three star standard. The estates are monitored every three months with a selection of streets (determined by tenants) covering all 42 estates.
Rent charged that has been collected	This performance indicator measures the amount of rent collected (including tenant arrears) as a percentage of rent due for the current year. Data for this indicator is not available by ward and is reported by Housing Office.

Community Protection

Data has been received from Community Protection and where postcodes have been listed these have been geo-coded and mapped to determine the ward. All addresses relate to the address of the Perpetrator.

FPNs are not currently available at ward level.


In August 2013 there was one court order issued. It was a Statutory Notices for Environment.

For enquiries regarding Community Protection court outcomes please contact the Operational Manager for the locality.

Fire

Table: Volume of Deliberate Fires by Ward

Ward	Jul-12	Jul-13	Change	% Change	Previous YTD	YTD	Change	% Change
Arboretum	3	5	2	66.67%	5	16	11	220.00%
Aspley	6	12	6	100.00%	21	27	6	28.57%
Basford	6	7	1	16.67%	21	17	-4	-19.05%
Berridge	4	3	-1	-25.00%	15	15	0	0.00%
Bestwood	4	9	5	125.00%	13	27	14	107.69%
Bilborough		3	3	#DIV/0!	20	8	-12	-60.00%
Bridge	2	5	3	150.00%	5	10	5	100.00%
Bulwell	3	9	6	200.00%	26	27	1	3.85%
Bulwell Forest	3	0	-3	-100.00%	13	4	-9	-69.23%
Clifton North		2	2	#DIV/0!	3	6	3	100.00%
Clifton South	4	3	-1	-25.00%	8	12	4	50.00%
Dales		1	1	#DIV/0!	3	9	6	200.00%
Dunkirk and Lenton	1	2	1	100.00%	2	3	1	50.00%
Leen Valley	1	5	4	400.00%	12	15	3	25.00%
Mapperley	2	3	1	50.00%	8	8	0	0.00%
Radford and Park	7	3	-4	-57.14%	10	5	-5	-50.00%
Sherwood	1	4	3	300.00%	3	6	3	100.00%
St. Ann's	1	5	4	400.00%	6	18	12	200.00%
Wollaton East and Lenton Abbey	1	1	0	0.00%	3	4	1	33.33%
Wollaton West	1	1	0	0.00%	2	3	1	50.00%
Total	50	83	33	66.00%	199	240	41	20.60%



- •The above table shows volume of deliberate (primary and secondary) fires in the 20 wards. In July 2013 there was 1 fire in Wollaton West which was a secondary grassland fire. The ward has seen a 50% increase in fires (1 fire) for the year to date compared to the same period last year.
- •The graph on the right shows a *rolling* 12 month total of deliberate fires in Wollaton West against the *fixed* 2010/11 baseline. The performance of the City Division against *its* baseline has also been shown for comparison purposes.

Methodology

Data has not been audited or verified and therefore must only be used as a reflection of crime.

Crime data and ASB calls have been extracted from the Police systems and mapped to ascertain which Ward they occurred in.

Where a ward boundary overlaps with the City Centre, crime data and ASB calls relating to the City Centre have been removed.

Where possible the most recent data is used, although for some areas this may be a month further in arrears.

Discussions continue with other agencies regarding what further data can be included.